THE SEGAL CONJECTURE FOR TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY OF THE RAVENEL SPECTRA $X(n)$ AND $T(n)$

GABE ANGELINI-KNOLL AND J.D. QUIGLEY

Abstract. In [27], Ravenel introduced sequences of spectra $X(n)$ and $T(n)$ which played an important role in the proof of the Nilpotence Theorem of Devinatz-Hopkins-Smith [11]. In the present paper, we solve the homotopy limit problem for topological Hochschild homology of $X(n)$, which is a generalized version of the Segal Conjecture for the cyclic group of prime order. We prove the same theorem for $T(n)$ under the assumption that $T(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum. This is also a first step towards computing algebraic K-theory of $X(n)$ and $T(n)$ using trace methods.

Contents

1. Introduction

In the 1970's, Segal conjectured that after completion at the augmentation ideal, the Burnside ring of a finite group G and the cohomotopy of BG agree [1]. This conjecture inspired an outpouring of exciting research in the early 1980's and was eventually resolved for general finite groups by Carlsson in [8]. When p is a prime and $G = C_p$ is the cyclic group of order p, the Segal Conjecture may be stated more generally as the question of whether the map $S^{C_p} \longrightarrow S^{hC_p}$ is a p-adic equivalence, where S is the sphere spectrum. This version of the conjecture was resolved by Lin when $p = 2$ and by Gunawardena when $p > 2$ using an algebraic construction called the Singer construction [20, 2].

The Segal Conjecture for the group C_p can be rephrased using topological Hochschild homology. Given an S-algebra R, we may construct $THH(R)$ as an S^1-spectrum which is a genuine C-spectrum for all finite subgroups C of S^1 [18]. When R is the sphere spectrum S, the spectrum $THH(S)$ equipped with the C_p-action obtained by restriction of the S^1-action is C_p-equivariantly equivalent to the sphere spectrum S equipped with the C_p-action in the statement of the Segal Conjecture [22]. In other words, there is a C_p-equivariant equivalence $THH(S) \simeq S$. Therefore, the Segal Conjecture for C_p may be rephrased as the question of whether the map

$$THH(S)^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(S)^{hC_p}$$

is a p-adic equivalence. For a more general S-algebra R, one may therefore ask whether the map

$$THH(R)^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(R)^{hC_p} \quad (1)$$

is a p-adic equivalence, which can be seen as a generalization of the Segal Conjecture for the group C_p. In the present paper, we prove that when R is any of the Ravenel spectra $X(n)$ for $n \geq 1$ (see Section 2), the map (1) is a p-adic equivalence. Assuming $T(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum, essentially the same proof gives the desired result (see Section 6). The proof uses techniques of [22], where Lunøe-Nielsen-Rognes prove that the map (1) is an equivalence for the complex cobordism spectrum MU and the Brown-Peterson spectrum BP. As a consequence of our result and a result of Tsalidis [28, 6], there is also an equivalence

$$THH(R)^{C_{p^k}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(R)^{hC_{p^k}} \tag{2}$$

for all $k \geq 1$ when R is either $X(n+1)$ or (assuming $T(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum) $T(n)$ for $n \geq 0$.

The main motivation for studying the C_{p^k}-fixed points of topological Hochschild homology is to approximate algebraic K-theory. In particular, topological Hochschild homology of an S-algebra R has the structure of a cyclotomic spectrum, which produces maps

$$THH(R)^{C_{p^k}} \underset{F}{\overset{R}{\rightrightarrows}} THH(R)^{C_{p^{k-1}}}$$

where F is the usual inclusion of fixed points and R is a map that is produced using the cyclotomic structure [18]. We may construct p-typical topological cyclic homology as the homotopy limit of these maps

$$TC(R;p) = \operatorname*{holim}_{F,R}\, THH(R)^{C_{p^k}}$$

which is a close approximation to algebraic K-theory of R in the sense that when R is connective and there is a surjection $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \pi_0 R$, there is a weak equivalence $K(R) \simeq_p \tau_{\geq 0} TC(R;p)$ after taking the connective cover of $TC(R;p)$ and after p-completion [13, Thm. 7.3.1.8]. Generally, the spectra $THH(R)^{C_{p^k}}$ are used to define $TC(R;p)$ but they are not as computable as $THH(R)^{hC_{p^k}}$, so it is desirable to relate $THH(R)^{C_{p^k}}$ to $THH(R)^{hC_{p^k}}$. Our results are therefore a first step towards computing $K(X(n))$ and $K(T(n))$.

The algebraic K-theory of the sphere spectrum is of fundamental importance because of its connection to derived algebraic geometry and manifold theory [29]. The program of Dundas-Rognes [12, Sec. 4.5] suggests, in particular, that algebraic K-theory of the sphere spectrum can be computed from the algebraic K-theory of $X(n)$ by descent along the map of ring spectra $S \to X(n)$. Our results therefore provide a first step towards computing algebraic K-theory of the sphere spectrum by this approach.

1.1. **Outline and Conventions.** In Sections 2-4, we compute the continuous homology of the spectrum $THH(X(n))^{tC_p}$ (see Section 4 for a definition of continuous homology in this setting). In Section 5, we relate $H_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$ to the homological Singer construction $R_+(H_*^c(THH(X(n))))$ defined in [23]. This allows us to show that the map

$$THH(X(n)) \xrightarrow{\hat{\Gamma}} THH(X(n))^{tC_p}$$

is a p-adic equivalence. The desired p-adic equivalence for C_p then follows from considering the norm-restriction diagram. The C_{p^k}-case follows from the C_p-case by applying Tsalidis' theorem [28, 6]. In Section 6, we discuss the same computations for $T(n)$ under the assumption that $T(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum. We also give an argument for why it is plausible that $T(n)$ is indeed an E_2-ring spectrum.

Throughout this paper, homology is always taken with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $H_*(-,\mathbb{F}_p)$ will simply be denoted $H_*(-)$. We will use the convention of Milnor [24] and write $\mathcal{A}_* \cong P(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots)$ for the dual Steenrod algebra at the prime 2 where $\zeta_i^2 = \xi_i$. We will write $\mathcal{A}_* \cong P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \otimes E(\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots)$ for the Steenrod algebra at primes $p \geq 3$. We will write $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$ for the circle group and regard $C_{p^k} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ as the subgroup of p^k-th roots of unity. We will use the notation $\doteq$ for an equality that holds only up to multiplication by a unit in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

1.2. **Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Mark Behrens and Andrew Salch for their comments on earlier versions of this paper. The authors also thank anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1547292.

2. The spectra $T(n)$ and $X(n)$

The spectra $T(n)$ and $X(n)$ were an integral part of the proofs due to Devinatz-Hopkins-Smith [11] of the Ravenel Conjectures [27]. The spectrum $X(n)$ is constructed as the Thom spectrum $X(n) := Th(f)$ of the 2-fold loop map $f\colon \Omega SU(n) \longrightarrow \Omega SU \simeq BU$. By work of Lewis [15, Ch. IX], the Thom spectrum of an k-fold loop map is an E_k-ring spectrum and hence $X(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum. Functoriality of the Thom construction gives a sequence of maps of E_2-ring spectra

$$S = X(1) \to X(2) \to \cdots \to X(\infty) = MU.$$

Just as MU splits p-locally as a wedge of suspensions of BP, $X(k)$ splits p-locally as a wedge of suspensions of $T(n)$ where n is chosen such that $p^n \leq k < p^{n+1}$ [26, Thm. 6.5.1]. By [19, pg. 16], the spectra $T(n)$ fit together to form a sequence whose colimit is BP. Due to Basterra-Mandell [4] we know that BP is an E_4 ring spectrum and due to Ravenel [26, Thm. 6.5.1], we know $T(n)$ are homotopy commutative homotopy associative ring spectra, however, it is not known whether $T(n)$ are E_2-ring spectra (earlier in this project, we believed this to be well known, but we have not found a proof in the literature). We discuss this in more detail in Section 6.

The homology of MU and BP are well-known and the homology of $X(k)$ and $T(n)$ can be found in the work of Ravenel [27, Sec. 3].

Lemma 2.1. *The homology of BP is given by the isomorphism $H_*(BP) \cong P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \ldots)$ induced by the map $BP \to H\mathbb{F}_p$ where $|\xi_i| = 2p^i - 2$ for $i \geq 1$. The homology of $T(n)$ is the subalgebra of $H_*(BP)$ given by the isomorphism*

$$H_*(T(n)) \cong P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$$

induced by the map $T(n) \to BP$.

Lemma 2.2. *The homology of MU is given by the isomorphism $H_*(MU) \cong P(b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots)$ where $|b_i| = 2i$. The homology of $X(k)$ is the subalgebra of $H_*(MU)$ given by the isomorphism*

$$H_*(X(k)) \cong P(b_1, \ldots, b_k).$$

3. Homology of the topological Hochschild homology of $X(n)$

Our first step is to calculate the homology of $THH(X(n))$. For this, we use the Bökstedt spectral sequence [5]. We quickly review the construction of this spectral sequence following [14, Ch. IX]. Let R be an S-algebra. Then $THH(R)$ is the geometric realization of the cyclic bar construction on R, i.e. it is the geometric realization of a simplicial ring spectrum with k-simplices $R^{\wedge k+1}$. The Bökstedt spectral sequence arises from applying homology to the simplicial filtration and it has the form

$$E^2_{*,*}(R) \cong HH_*(H_*(R)) \Rightarrow H_*(THH(R))$$

where $HH_*(-)$ denotes Hochschild homology over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proposition 3.1. *The homology of $THH(X(n))$ is*

$$H_*(THH(X(n)) \cong P(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2, \ldots, \sigma b_n).$$

Proof. To compute the E^2-term of the Bökstedt spectral sequence, we need to know the Hochschild homology $HH_*(H_*(X(n)))$. More generally, the Hochschild homology of polynomial algebras can be computed using the Koszul resolution, which shows that

$$HH_*(P(x_1, x_2, \ldots)) \cong P(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \otimes E(\sigma x_1, \sigma x_2, \ldots).$$

Therefore

$$E^2_{**}(X(n)) = P(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_1, \ldots, \sigma b_n)$$

where $|b_i| = (0, 2i)$ and $|\sigma b_i| = (1, 2i)$. Since there are no generators in filtration degree greater than one and the d_r differentials shift filtration degree by $r \geq 2$, there are no possible differentials.

To solve extension problems, we use the map $X(n) \to MU$, which is a map of E_2-ring spectra as discussed in Section 2. This map induces an injective map on homology $H_*(X(n)) \to H_*(MU)$ which determines an injective map between the Bökstedt spectral sequences converging to $H_*(THH(X(n)))$ and $H_*(THH(MU))$. This is a map of multiplicative spectral sequences by [3, Prop. 4.3] so any multiplicative extensions in $H_*(THH(MU))$ must occur in $H_*(THH(X(n)))$. The multiplicative extensions in the Bökstedt spectral sequence for $H_*THH(MU)$ were determined by [22, Lem 6.2], completing the proof. □

4. Homological Tate fixed points of $THH(X(n))$

The next step is to compute the continuous homology of the C_p-Tate construction of $THH(X(n))$. Let X be a genuine $\mathbb{T}$-spectrum. Then the *C_p-Tate construction of X*, denoted X^{tC_p}, is defined by

$$X^{tC_p} = \left(\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}} \wedge F(E\,\mathbb{T}_+, X)\right)^{C_p}$$

where $E\,\mathbb{T} = S(\infty\mathbb{C})$ is the usual model for $E\,\mathbb{T}$ and $\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}$ is the cofiber of the map $E\,\mathbb{T}_+ \to S^0$ which sends the basepoint to the basepoint and collapses everything else to the non-basepoint. Following Greenlees [16], we define $\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_k$ to be the homotopy cofiber of $(E\,\mathbb{T}^{(k-1)})_+ \to S^0$ for $k \geq 0$ where $E\,\mathbb{T}^{(k-1)}$ is the $k-1$-skeleton of $E\,\mathbb{T}$ and we define $\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_k = D(\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{-k})$ for $k < 0$ where $D(\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{-k})$ is the Spanier-Whitehead dual of $\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{-k}$. Note that in this case $\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{2n} = \widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{2n-1}$ can be modeled by $S^{n\mathbb{C}}$ where $S^{n\mathbb{C}}$ is the one point compactification of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with diagonal $\mathbb{T}$-action.

We can then define

$$X^{tC_p}[k] = \left(\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}/\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_k \wedge F(E\,\mathbb{T}_+, X)\right)^{C_p}$$

and we produce a filtration

$$X^{tC_p} \to \cdots X^{tC_p}[k] \to X^{tC_p}[k+1] \to X^{tC_p}[k+2] \to \cdots$$

of X^{tC_p} called the *Greenlees filtration* where the filtration quotients are

$$\left(\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{2n-1}/\widetilde{E\,\mathbb{T}}_{2n} \wedge F(E\,\mathbb{T}_+, X)\right)^{C_p} \simeq \Sigma^n X$$

when $k = 2n-1$ for some integer n and contractible when k is even.

Applying homology produces an exact couple whose associated spectral sequence is called the *homological Tate spectral sequence*. For more details about this spectral sequence, we refer the reader to [7, 17].

We begin by analyzing this spectral sequence for $THH(X(n))$. The homological Tate spectral sequence has the form

$$\hat{E}^2_{**} = \hat{H}^*(C_p; H_*(THH(X(n))) \Rightarrow H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}) \tag{3}$$

where the right-hand side is the *continuous homology* of $THH(X(n))$ defined as the limit

$$H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}) := \lim_k H_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}[k])$$

of the homology of the spectra in the Greenlees filtration. This terminology originally appeared in the work of Bruner and Rognes [7].

The geometric realization of the cyclic bar construction admits a canonical $\mathbb{T}$-action, so in particular $THH(X(n))$ admits a $\mathbb{T}$-action. The C_p-action on $H_*(THH(X(n)))$ is just the restriction of this $\mathbb{T}$-action which is trivial because $\mathbb{T}$ is connected and the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $H_*(THH(X(n)))$ is continuous. Therefore the Tate cohomology in the E^2-page splits as a tensor product

$$\hat{H}^*(C_p; H_*(THH(X(n)))) \cong \hat{H}^*(C_p; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_*(THH(X(n)).$$

Since $\hat{H}^{-*}(C_2;\mathbb{F}_2) \cong P(t^{\pm 1})$ with $|t| = -1$ and $\hat{H}^*(C_p;\mathbb{F}_p) \cong E(h) \otimes P(t^{\pm 1})$ with $|h| = -1$ and $|t| = -2$ if $p > 2$, we can identify the E^2-page of the spectral sequence as

$$\hat{E}^2_{**} = \begin{cases} P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2, \dots, \xi_n), & p = 2, \\ E(h) \otimes P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \otimes E(\sigma \xi_1, \sigma b_2, \dots, \sigma \xi_n), & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $p = 2$, the degrees of the generators are $|t| = (-1, 0)$, $|b_i| = (0, 2i)$, and $|\sigma b_i| = (0, 2i+1)$. For $p > 2$, the degrees of the generators are $|h| = (-1, 0)$, $|t| = (-2, 0)$, and the degrees of b_i and σb_i are the same as in the case $p = 2$.

Proposition 4.1. *In the homological Tate spectral sequence (3), there are d^2-differentials $d_2(b_i) = t^2 \sigma b_i$ for $p = 2$, and $d^2(b_i) \doteq t\sigma b_i$ for $p > 2$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. There are no further differentials.*

Proof. We will understand this spectral sequence by comparison with the Tate spectral sequence converging to $H^c_*(THH(MU)^{tC_p})$. The d^2-differentials for the Tate spectral sequence converging to $H^c_*(THH(MU)^{tC_p})$ were computed in [22, Prop. 6.3]. They showed that for all $i \geq 1$, one has $d^2(b_i) = t^2\sigma b_i$ for $p = 2$, and $d^2(b_i) \doteq t\sigma b_i$ for $p > 2$. This can be seen by lifting the differentials from the Tate spectral sequence converging to the continuous homology $H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{t\mathbb{T}})$ of the $\mathbb{T}$-Tate construction along the inclusion $C_p \subset \mathbb{T}$. The $\mathbb{T}$-Tate spectral sequence differentials arise from looking at the skeletal filtration of the model of $E\mathbb{T}$ given by $S(\infty\mathbb{C})$ and noting that the attaching maps are given by the $\mathbb{T}$-action [7].

The map $X(n) \to MU$ induces an injective map of E^2-pages of homological Tate spectral sequences. In particular, any differential $d^2(b_i) = t^2\sigma b_i$ for $p = 2$ or $d^2(b_i) \doteq t\sigma b_i$ for $p > 2$ in the MU case must also occur in the $X(n)$ case when $i \leq n$. This gives the stated d^2-differentials. Therefore, the map of E^3-pages of homological Tate spectral sequences is again injective. Since the homological Tate spectral sequence for MU collapses at E^3 by [22, Prop. 6.3], so must the homological Tate spectral sequence for $X(n)$.

To solve extensions, we note that the map of spectral sequences induced by $X(n) \to MU$ is multiplicative by naturality of the homological Tate spectral sequence since the map $X(n) \to MU$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra. Therefore, the desired extensions follow from [22, Prop. 6.3], as well. □

The continuous homology $H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$ of the C_p-Tate construction of $THH(X(n))$ follows from the above pattern of differentials combined with the Leibniz rule.

Corollary 4.2. *The continuous homology of the C_p-Tate construction of $THH(X(n))$ is given by*

$$H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}) \cong \begin{cases} P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(b_1^2, \dots, b_n^2) \otimes E(b_1\sigma b_1, \dots, b_n \sigma b_n), & p = 2, \\ E(h) \otimes P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(b_1^p, \dots, b_n^p) \otimes E(b_1^{p-1}\sigma b_1, \dots, b_n^{p-1}\sigma b_n), & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

5. Identification with the Singer construction

In this section, we prove the Segal Conjecture for $THH(X(n))$. Our proof proceeds by modifying the proof of the Segal Conjecture for $THH(MU)$ given by Lunøe-Nielsen-Rognes in [22]. To avoid repeating some of their technical arguments and constructions, we include precise references to their paper where possible.

By the norm-restriction diagram for topological Hochschild homology [18, Prop. 4.1], the map $THH(R)^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(R)^{hC_p}$ in (1) is a p-adic equivalence if

$$THH(R) \xrightarrow{\hat{\Gamma}} THH(R)^{tC_p}$$

is a p-adic equivalence. We will show this by exhibiting an Ext-equivalence (see Definition 5.1)

$$H_*(THH(R)) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} R_+(H_*(THH(R))) \xrightarrow{\Phi_n} H^c_*(THH(R)^{tC_p}) \tag{4}$$

where $R_+(-)$ is the homological Singer construction [23, Def. 3.7]. The p-adic equivalence then follows from comparing (inverse limit) Adams spectral sequences as in [21, 2, 22]. The map ϵ_* is an Ext-equivalence by [21, 2, 23], so we must show that the map Φ_n is an Ext-equivalence.

Definition 5.1. A homomorphism $N \to M$ of $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodules is an *Ext-equivalence* if the induced homomorphism

$$Ext^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbb{F}_p, N) \to Ext^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathbb{F}_p, M)$$

is an isomorphism for all $s \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We begin with the case $R = X(n)$ and $p > 2$. By Corollary 4.2 the continuous homology of the C_p-Tate construction on $THH(X(n))$ is given by

$$H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}) \cong E(h) \otimes P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(b_1^p, b_2^p, \dots, b_n^p) \otimes E(b_1^{p-1}\sigma b_1, b_2^{p-1}\sigma b_2 \dots, b_n^{p-1}\sigma b_n).$$

On the other hand, one can consider the homological Tate spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}_2^{**} = \hat{H}^{-*}(C_p; H_*(THH(X(n))^{\wedge p})) \Rightarrow H_*(((THH(X(n))^{\wedge p})^{tC_p}) \cong R_+(H_*(THH(X(n))))$$

where the isomorphism on the right-hand side follows from [23, Thm. 5.9]. In this case, the homological Singer construction can be expressed as

$$R_+(H_*(THH(X(n)))) = E(h) \otimes P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(b_1^{\otimes p}, b_2^{\otimes p}, \dots, b_n^{\otimes p}) \otimes E(\sigma b_1^{\otimes p}, \sigma b_2^{\otimes p}, \dots, \sigma b_n^{\otimes p})$$

which is in bijection with $H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$ via $b_i^p \mapsto b_i^{\otimes p}$ and $b_i^{p-1}\sigma b_i \mapsto t^m \otimes \sigma b_i^{\otimes p}$ where $m = (p-1)/2$. The goal is to promote this filtration-shifting bijection to an isomorphism of complete $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodules.

The homology of $X(n)$ and $THH(X(n))$ are sub-$\mathcal{A}_*$-comodules of the homology of MU and $THH(MU)$, respectively, and $H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$ is a complete sub-$\mathcal{A}_*$-comodule of $H^c_*(THH(MU)^{tC_p})$. Therefore, the formulas and computations leading up to Propositions 7.2 and 7.3 of [22] carry over *mutatis mutandis*. In particular, we obtain maps

$$R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes_{H_*(X(n))} H_*(THH(X(n))) \xrightarrow{f} R_+(H_*(THH(X(n)))$$
$$R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes_{H_*(X(n))} H_*(THH(X(n))) \xrightarrow{g} H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$$

defined by $f(\alpha \otimes \beta) = R_+(\eta_*)(\alpha) \cdot \epsilon_*(\beta)$ and $g(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \eta^t_*(\alpha) \cdot \hat{\Gamma}_*(\beta)$, where $R_+(\eta_*)$, ϵ_*, η^t_* and $\hat{\Gamma}_*$ are the $H_*(X(n))$-linear maps

$$R_+(\eta_*)\colon\ R_+(H_*(X(n))) \to R_+(H_*(THH(X(n)))$$
$$\epsilon_*\colon\ H_*(THH(X(n))) \to R_+(H_*(THH(X(n))$$
$$\eta^t_*\colon\ R_+(H_*(X(n)) \to H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$$
$$\hat{\Gamma}_*\colon\ H_*(THH(X(n))) \to H^c_*(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})$$

induced by the usual unit map

$$\eta\colon\ X(n) \to THH(X(n)),$$

Tate diagonal

$$\epsilon\colon\ THH(X(n)) \to (THH(X(n))^{\wedge p})^{tC_p},$$

and the usual map

$$\hat{\Gamma}\colon\ THH(X(n)) \to THH(X(n))^{tC_p}$$

in the norm-restriction diagram [18, Prop. 4.1].

There are filtrations of the above $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodules defined by

$$F^k H_*(X^{tC_p}) = im\left(H^c_*(X^{tC_p}) \to H_*(X^{tC_p}[k])\right)$$

where $H_*(X^{tC_p}[k])$ is the homology of the k-th term in the Greenlees filtration (see Section 4). In particular, this defines a filtration on $R_+(H_*R)$ and $R_+(H_*THH(R))$ for an S-algebra R which is bounded below and finite type because, due to [23, Thm. 5.9], there are isomorphisms $R_+(H_*R) \cong H^c_*((R^{\wedge p})^{tC_p})$ and $R_+(H_*THH(R)) \cong H^c_*((THH(R)^{\wedge p})^{tC_p})$.[1] The maps f and g defined above

[1]In fact, this result has recently been extended by Nikolaus-Scholze [25, Theorem III.1.7] who show that the map $X \to (X^{\wedge p})^{tC_p}$ exhibits $(X^{\wedge p})^{tC_p}$ as the p-completion of X for all bounded below spectra without the finite type hypothesis.

induce maps between filtrations f_k and g_k, which are $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodule homomorphisms for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since the $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodule structure on $H_*(X(n))$ is the restriction of the $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodule structure on $H_*(MU)$.

Proposition 5.2. *The sets $\{f_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$ are strict maps of inverse systems which assemble into pro-isomorphisms whose limits $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ are isomorphisms of complete $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodules.*

Proof. Our proof is modified from the proof of [22, Prop. 7.2]. We will only provide the proof for $\{f_k\}$ since the proof for $\{g_k\}$ is similar. In each total degree d, f_k defines a map

$$f_{k,d}\colon [F^k R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2, \dots, \sigma b_n)]_d \to F^k R_+(H_*(THH(X(n))))_d.$$

For each k, we would like to define compatible maps

$$\phi_{k,d}\colon [F^N R_+(H_*(THH(X(n))))]_d \to [F^k R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2, \dots, \sigma b_n)]_d$$

with $N = N(k,d) = p(k-d) + d$, such that the composition $\phi_{k,d} \circ f_{N,d}$ is equal to the structural surjection

$$[F^N R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2 \dots, \sigma b_n)]_d \twoheadrightarrow [F^k R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2 \dots, \sigma b_n)]_d$$

and such that the composition $f_{k,d} \circ \phi_{k,d,}$ is equal to the structural surjection

$$[F^N R_+(H_*(THH(X(n)))]_d \twoheadrightarrow [F^k R_+(H_*(THH(X(n))))]_d.$$

We can then conclude that the collection $\{f_{k,d}\}_k$ forms a pro-isomorphism with pro-inverse $\{\phi_{k,d}\}_k$ in each total degree d. These maps therefore assemble into a pro-isomorphism $\{f_k\}$ with pro-inverse $\{\phi_k\}$.

In [22, Proof of Thm. 7.2], Lunøe-Nielsen and Rognes decompose the group $[R_+(H_*(MU)) \otimes E(\epsilon_*(\sigma m_\ell) | \ell \geq 1)]_d$ into a direct sum indexed by strictly increasing sequences $L = (\ell_1 < \dots < \ell_r)$ of natural numbers of length $r \geq 0$. Then they define the maps $\phi_{k,d}$ for MU using this decomposition on [22, Pg. 618-619]. The desired maps $\phi_{k,d}$ for $X(n)$ follow from exactly the same steps. To decompose $[R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes E(\epsilon_*(\sigma b_1), \dots, \epsilon_*(\sigma b_n)))]_d$ into a direct sum, we restrict to strictly increasing sequences $L = (\ell_1 < \dots < \ell_r)$ where $0 \leq r \leq n$. Using the notation $\epsilon_L = \epsilon_*(\sigma b_{\ell_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \epsilon_*(\sigma b_{\ell_r})$, we obtain homomorphisms

$$[F^{N-s_L} R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\{\epsilon_L\}]_d \to [F^k R_+(H_*(X(n))) \otimes E(\sigma b_1, \sigma b_2, \dots, \sigma b_n)]_d$$

defined by $\epsilon_L \mapsto \sigma b_{\ell_1} \dots \sigma b_{\ell_r}$ where $s_L = -(p-1)(2\ell_1 + \dots + 2\ell_r + r)$. The definition of $\phi_{k,d}$ is then completed by taking the direct sum over L.

The filtration shift estimates from [22, Pg. 618-619] carry over to the $X(n)$ case. Therefore the maps $f_{k,d}$ and $\phi_{k,d}$ compose into the desired structural surjections in each total degree d. This implies that the set $\{f_k\}$ is a strict map of inverse systems which assembles into a pro-isomorphism. □

The analogous pro-isomorphisms can be defined for $X(n)$ when $p = 2$ by essentially the same argument. Setting $\Phi_X := \hat{g} \circ \hat{f}^{-1}$ for the corresponding $\hat{g} = \lim g_k$ and $\hat{f} = \lim f_k$ yields the desired isomorphism.

Corollary 5.3. *There is an isomorphism of complete $\mathcal{A}_*$-comodules*

$$\Phi_{X(n)}\colon R_+(H_*(THH(X(n)))) \longrightarrow H_*^c(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}).$$

Therefore the composition (4) is an *Ext*-equivalence for $R = X(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 5.4. *The map*

$$THH(X(n)) \xrightarrow{\hat{\Gamma}} THH(X(n))^{tC_p}$$

is a p-adic equivalence.

Proof. The map
$$\hat{\Gamma}\colon THH(X(n)) \to THH(X(n))^{tC_p}$$
induces a map between the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = Ext_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, H_*(THH(X(n)))) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(THH(X(n))) \tag{5}$$

and the inverse limit of Adams spectral sequences

$$E_2^{s,t} = Ext_{\mathcal{A}_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, H_*^c(THH(X(n))^{tC_p})) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(THH(X(n))^{tC_p}). \tag{6}$$

By [23, Thm. 5.9], there is an isomorphism $H_*\left(THH(X(n))^{\wedge p}\right)^{tC_p} \cong R_+(H_*THH(X(n)))$ and by Lin and Gunawardena [20, 2] the map $H_*(THH(X(n))) \to R_+(H_*THH(X(n)))$ induces an Ext-equivalence. Since there is an Ext-equivalence between $R_+(H_*THH(X(n)))$ and $H_*THH(X(n))^{tC_p}$, there is an equivalence of E_2-pages of the spectral sequences (5) and (6). The result then follows from convergence of the inverse limit of Adams spectral sequences [23, Prop. 2.2]. □

By the norm-restriction diagram [18, Prop. 4.1] and the five lemma, we conclude the main theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *The map*
$$THH(X(n))^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(X(n))^{hC_p}$$
is a p-adic equivalence.

By Tsalidis' theorem [28, 6], we conclude that the same statement holds for C_{p^k}-fixed points.

Corollary 5.6. *The map*
$$THH(X(n))^{C_{p^k}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(X(n))^{hC_{p^k}}$$
is a p-adic equivalence.

6. The Segal conjecture for topological Hochschild homology of $T(n)$

We conclude by briefly describing analogous results for the spectrum $T(n)$ under the assumptions that $T(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum and that the map $T(n) \to BP$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra. We begin by discussing the plausibility of these assumptions.

We can express $T(n)$ as the colimit
$$T(n) = \operatorname{colim}_{\epsilon_n} X(n),$$
where ϵ_n is the restriction of the Quillen idempotent $\epsilon\colon MU \to MU$ to $X(n)$ as defined in [19, Lem 1.3.5]. In particular, this implies that $T(n)$ is homotopy commutative and homotopy associative [26, Thm. 6.5.1]. Work of Chadwick-Mandell [10] shows that $\epsilon\colon MU \to MU$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra and the map $MU \to BP$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra. To prove that $T(n)$ is an E_2-ring spectrum and the map $T(n) \to BP$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra, it would suffice to show that the map $\epsilon_n\colon X(n) \to X(n)$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra. Indeed, this follows from the same argument as [9, Thm. 5.6]; the key point is that the colimit in E_2-ring spectra is computed as the colimit of underlying spectra.

Let $\mathrm{HoRing}(X, Y)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of maps of ring spectra $X \to Y$ in the stable homotopy category, and let $\mathrm{E_2\text{-}Ring}(A, B)$ be the space of E_2-ring maps $A \to B$. We would like to show that $\epsilon_n \in \mathrm{HoRing}(X(n), X(n))$ pulls back to a class in $\pi_0(\mathrm{E_2\text{-}Ring}(X(n), X(n)))$ along the map

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{E_2\text{-}Ring}(X(n), X(n))) \to \mathrm{HoRing}(X(n), X(n)). \tag{7}$$

By using methods from [10, Sec. 6], we can identify
$$\pi_0(\mathrm{E_2\text{-}Ring}(X(n), X(n))) \cong \widetilde{sl}_1 X(n)^2 BSU(n)$$
and
$$\mathrm{HoRing}(X(n), X(n)) \cong \widetilde{sl}_1 X(n)^0(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}).$$

The map (7) is induced by the map

$$\Sigma^2\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \to \Sigma^2\Omega SU(n) \to B^2\Omega SU(n) \simeq BSU(n).$$

Therefore it suffices to examine the map of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences, which is given on E_2-pages by

$$H^{s+2}(BSU(n); \pi_{-t}\widetilde{sl_1}X(n)) \to H^s(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}; \pi_{-t}\widetilde{sl_1}X(n)).$$

We can understand this map with integral coefficients

$$H^{*+2}(BSU(n); \mathbb{Z}) \to H^s(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$$

where $H^{*+2}(BSU(n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong P(x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n)$ with $|x_i| = 2i$ and $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong P_n(u)$ with $|u| = 2$. By [10, Prop. 6.3], x_i maps to $(-1)^i u^i$ for all i and all decomposables map to zero. Therefore it suffices to understand the map of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences modulo decomposables. We may determine the class z detecting ϵ_n in the target spectral sequence and a class $\tilde{z}$ mapping to it from the source spectral sequence. Our goal then is to show that $\tilde{z}$ is a permanent cycle.

In the work of Chadwick-Mandell, the analogous class is a permanent cycle for bidegree reasons; in particular, there are no possible targets for differentials because all of the spectra they consider have homotopy groups concentrated in even degrees. Since $\pi_*(X(n))$ is not concentrated in even degrees for $*$ sufficiently large, we cannot rule out the possibility of $\tilde{z}$ supporting a long differential. We make the following assumption which implies that $T(n)$ is E_2.

Assumption 6.1. *The class $\tilde{z}$ is a permanent cycle in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence with abutment $\widetilde{sl}_1X(n)^*BSU(n)$.*

Assuming that $T(n)$ is E_2 and the map $T(n) \to BP$ is a map of E_2-ring spectra, we can prove the Segal Conjecture for $THH(T(n))$ by following the same strategy as we did for $THH(X(n))$. The homology $H_*(THH(T(n)))$ can be computed using the Bökstedt spectral sequence by comparison with the Bökstedt spectral sequence converging to $H_*(THH(BP))$. One obtains

$$H_*(THH(T(n))) \cong P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \otimes E(\sigma\xi_1, \sigma\xi_2, \ldots, \sigma\xi_n).$$

The continuous homology $H_*^c(THH(T(n))^{tC_p})$ can be computed using the homological Tate spectral sequence by comparison with the homological Tate spectral sequence converging to $H_*^c(THH(BP)^{tC_p})$ which was computed in [22, Prop. 6.8]. One finds that

$$H_*^c(THH(T(n))^{tC_p}) \cong \begin{cases} P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(\xi_1^2, \ldots, \xi_n^2) \otimes E(\xi_1\sigma\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\sigma\xi_n), & p = 2, \\ E(h) \otimes P(t^{\pm 1}) \otimes P(\xi_1^p, \ldots, \xi_n^p) \otimes E(\xi_1^{p-1}\sigma\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n^{p-1}\sigma\xi_n), & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

For $p = 2$, the degrees of the generators are $|t| = (-1, 0)$, $|\xi_i| = (0, 2^{i+1}-2)$, and $|\sigma\xi_i| = (0, 2^{i+1}-1)$. For $p > 2$, the degrees of the generators are $|h| = (-1, 0)$, $|t| = (-2, 0)$, $|\xi_i| = (0, 2p^i - 2)$, and $|\sigma\xi_i| = (0, 2p^i - 1)$. The identification with the Singer construction

$$R_+(H_*(THH(T(n)))) \cong H_*^c(THH(T(n))^{tC_p})$$

follows from a modification of the proof of [22, Thm. 7.2]. This modification is similar to the modification proving the analogous isomorphism for $THH(X(n))$ in Section 5. One concludes using the (inverse limit) Adams spectral sequence that the map

$$THH(T(n))^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(T(n))^{hC_p}$$

is a p-adic equivalence, and by Tsalids' Theorem [28, 6], the map

$$THH(T(n))^{C_{p^k}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} THH(T(n))^{hC_{p^k}}$$

is a p-adic equivalence for all $k \geq 1$.

References

[1] J. F. Adams. Graeme Segal's Burnside ring conjecture. In *Symposium on Algebraic Topology in honor of José Adem (Oaxtepec, 1981)*, volume 12 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 9–18. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
[2] J Frank Adams, JH Gunawardena, and Haynes Miller. The Segal conjecture for elementary abelian p-groups. *Topology*, 24(4):435–460, 1985.
[3] Vigleik Angeltveit and John Rognes. Hopf algebra structure on topological Hochschild homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:1223–1290, 2005.
[4] M. Basterra and M. A. Mandell. The Multiplication on BP. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2011.
[5] Marcel Bökstedt. Topological Hochschild homology of the integers. *Preprint, Universitéit Bielefeld*, 1985.
[6] Marcel Bökstedt, Robert R. Bruner, Sverre Lunø e Nielsen, and John Rognes. On cyclic fixed points of spectra. *Math. Z.*, 276(1-2):81–91, 2014.
[7] Robert R Bruner and John Rognes. Differentials in the homological homotopy fixed point spectral sequence. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 5(2):653–690, 2005.
[8] Gunnar Carlsson. Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 120(2):189–224, 1984.
[9] Steven Greg Chadwick. *Structured orientations of Thom spectra*. PhD thesis, Indiana University, 2012.
[10] Steven Greg Chadwick and Michael A Mandell. E_n genera. *Geometry & Topology*, 19(6):3193–3232, 2015.
[11] Ethan S Devinatz, Michael J Hopkins, and Jeffrey H Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 128(2):207–241, 1988.
[12] Bjørn I Dundas and John Rognes. Cubical and cosimplicial descent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.05226*, 2017.
[13] Bjørn Ian Dundas, Thomas G. Goodwillie, and Randy McCarthy. *The local structure of algebraic K-theory*, volume 18 of *Algebra and Applications*. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2013.
[14] Anthony D Elmendorf, Igor Kriz, Michael A Mandell, and J Peter May. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*. Number 47. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
[15] L Gaunce Jr, J Peter May, Mark Steinberger, et al. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, volume 1213. Springer, 2006.
[16] J. P. C. Greenlees. Representing Tate cohomology of G-spaces. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 30(3):435–443, 1987.
[17] John Patrick Campbell Greenlees and J Peter May. *Generalized Tate cohomology*, volume 543. American Mathematical Soc., 1995.
[18] Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen. On the K-theory of finite algebras over Witt vectors of perfect fields. *Topology*, 36(1):29–101, 1997.
[19] Michael J Hopkins. *Stable decompositions of certain loop spaces*. PhD thesis, Northwestern University, 1984.
[20] Wen Hsiung Lin. On conjectures of Mahowald, Segal and Sullivan. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 87(3):449–458, 1980.
[21] WH Lin, DM Davis, ME Mahowald, and JF Adams. Calculation of Lin's Ext groups. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 87, pages 459–469. Cambridge Univ Press, 1980.
[22] Sverre Lunøe-Nielsen and John Rognes. The Segal conjecture for topological Hochschild homology of complex cobordism. *Journal of Topology*, 4(3):591–622, 2011.
[23] Sverre Lunøe-Nielsen and John Rognes. The topological Singer construction. *Documenta Mathematica*, 17:861–909, 2012.
[24] John Milnor. The Steenrod algebra and its dual. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:150–171, 1958.
[25] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.01799*, 2017.
[26] Douglas C Ravenel. *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, volume 121.
[27] Douglas C Ravenel. Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 106(2):351–414, 1984.
[28] Stavros Tsalidis. Topological Hochschild homology and the homotopy descent problem. *Topology*, 37(4):913–934, 1998.
[29] Friedhelm Waldhausen. An outline of how manifolds relate to algebraic K-theory. In *Homotopy theory (Durham, 1985)*, volume 117 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 239–247. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.

Michigan State University

University of Notre Dame